IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

ROBERT WHITE,	\$	
Plaintiff,	\$ \$	
v.	\$	
PATRIOT ERECTORS LLC,	§ §	1:20-CV-1219-RP
Defendant.	\$	

<u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court is the report and recommendation from United States Magistrate Judge

Dustin Howell concerning Defendant Patriot Erector LLC's ("Defendant") motion for summary

judgment, (Mot. Summ. J., Dkt. 12; R. & R., Dkt. 26). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1(h)

of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of

Texas, Judge Howell issued his report and recommendation on October 3, 2022. (*Id.*). As of the date

of this order, no party has filed objections to the report and recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate's report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note ("When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.").

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. Having done so and finding no clear error, the Court accepts and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 26), is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's motion for summary judgment, (Dkt. 12), is **DENIED**.

SIGNED on October 27, 2022.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE